

Presence-Generating Arts Systems

By Ms Sarah Linzie Moss

Supervisor: Professor Ernest Edmonds

Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computing Science

Creativity and Cognition Studios (CCS)

Faculty of Engineering and Information Science

University of Technology, Sydney

October 2011

Certificate of Authorship / Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of student

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'S. Moss', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to acknowledge my father David Moss who encouraged and facilitated my career and artistic endeavours with enthusiasm and pride. His encouragement and support was a driving factor in the manufacture of this document and the art system it describes. This work is dedicated to the memory of David who passed away on January 1st 2010 at the age of 65 years. I also thank my sisters, Rachel, Kirran and Jessica who over the years supplied me with a wardrobe most outstanding for an impoverished student. I am also indebted to my children, Matilda Rose and Wridley Rupert whose presence has enriched my life beyond measure. I wish to acknowledge my colleagues and friends at Creativity and Cognition Studios primarily Professor Ernest Edmonds whose advice and guidance has been instrumental to me.

Many people collaborated on the production of the art system described within this practice-based research project. In no particular order I would like to thank: Pria Mitra for insisting, Patrick Troy and Robin Gareus for technical advice, technology specialist's Dr Michael Donegan and Proffessor Lizbeth Goodman, editorial advice Dr Linda Candy, composer Marianthe Loucataris, curator Deborah Turnbull, choreographer Wendy Regan and performer Xanthe Waite. Other performers include Stephen Wilson (who also gains credit for the production of the camera shelf, thank you), Annie Bourke, David Rowe, John Thorpe, Kenya Inram, Honora Jenkins, Jane Davis and Erin Masters, Tom Waite and Trent Bowmann aka The Birdmann, for participating in the experiment with such enthusiasm. I am also indebted to the fifty participants who evaluated the system at either the Interaction Lab at Creativity and Cognition Studios, UTS or Beta_Space, at the Powerhouse Museum, Sydney.

I acknowledge and thank my sponsors and industry partners; the team at Australasian CRC for Interaction Design (ACID); Area Manager Bas Tijdhof from Tobii Technology; National Parks and Wildlife Society (NPWS) and Matthew Connell Principal Curator at The Powerhouse Museum, Sydney.

Finally I give thanks for the generosity and enthusiasm of Dr Alastair Weakly the primary technologist and Oleg Sakharov the secondary technologist without whom this project would not have seen the light of day.

Abstract

In order to advance understanding about presence-generating art systems, artists, researchers and designers, working in the field of immersive environments, create experiences for people. These experiences involve the participant not only through the systems functionality (interaction), but in a psychological way, employing the use of the senses. Designed sensorial experiences account for both the participant's experience and the system's functionality. A presence-generating art system facilitates engaging experiences for participants, as both system form and system content compliment each other. These three components; the participant, system form, and system content are reliant upon each other if the outcome is to produce presence-based engagements. Creating meaningful, engaging experiences is one method for generating the experience of presence. This work looks at the process of creating presence engagement by applying in design; previous research into presence, two models for creative engagement and designing low stress environments. It briefly explores participants' experiences of navigating a projected interface, using a stand alone eye-tracking device. This practice-based research project examines an interactive art system and how it use's levels of interactivity to engage participants through models for creative engagement, designed for gaining and sustaining attention. The work advances understanding about interaction and experience design, by evaluating participants experiences of the seven features designed to enhance the sense of presence. These features are: designing for creative engagement, the sensation of spatial presence, the experience of ecological validity, the use of narratives, the use of colour and motion, audio and the system response time.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Authorship / Originality	2
Acknowledgements	3
Abstract.....	4
List of Illustrations	10
List of Tables	12
Addendum. References to <i>PEGASYS</i> External Drive	14
Chapter One	16
1 INTRODUCTION.....	16
1.1 Aims.....	17
1.2 Objectives	18
1.3 Research Questions	18
1.4 Outcomes	18
1.5 Significance	19
1.6 Chapter Synopsis	20
1.7 Addendum	24
1.7.1 References for the <i>PEGASYS</i> External Drive	25
Chapter Two	27
2 STATE OF THE ART REVIEW.....	27
2.1 Presence.....	30
2.1.1 Presence: An Introduction	30
2.1.2 Presence: As Defined within this Research Project	32
2.1.3 Presence: Explication Statement	32
2.1.4 Presence: Variables that Influence the Feeling of.....	34
2.1.4.1 Presence Engagement	35
2.1.4.2 Spatial Presence	36
2.1.4.3 Sensory Presence	36
2.1.4.4 Social Realism and Presence	37
2.1.4.5 Absence or Inverse Presence	37
2.1.4.6 Negative Effects on Presence Engagement	38
2.1.4.7 Presence and Place	39
2.1.4.8 Presence and Immersion.....	39
2.1.4.9 Presence and Time.....	40
2.1.5 Inner Presence and Media Presence: A Synopsis	42
2.1.5.1 Inner Presence	42
2.1.5.2 Media Presence	44
2.1.6 Characteristics of Media/Technology	45
2.1.6.1 Form: as a Component of Media Presence	45
2.1.6.2 Content: as a Component of Media Presence	46
2.1.6.3 Participant: as a Component of Inner Presence	47
2.1.7 Three Layers of Presence	48
2.1.7.1 Proto Presence: as a Component of Media Presence.....	48
2.1.7.2 Core Presence: as a Component of Media Presence	49
2.1.7.3 Extended Presence: as a Component of Inner Presence	49
2.1.8 Previous Presence Research: A Summary.....	50
2.2 Models For Creative Engagement	52
2.2.1 The Model of Engagement	52
2.2.2 Designing for Creative Engagement	54

2.3 Interactive Art Systems	54
2.3.1 Art Systems.....	55
2.3.1.1 Computer-Controlled Systems	56
2.3.1.2 User-Controlled Systems	58
2.3.1.3 Video in Art Systems.....	60
2.3.1.4 Biotechnological Art Systems	63
2.3.1.5 Presence-Based Art Systems	66
2.3.1.6 Virtual Reality Systems	70
2.4 Gaze-Based Technologies	73
2.4.1 Eye-tracking Technology.....	73
2.4.1.1 The Eye-tracking Device	74
2.4.1.2 Eye-tracking Interfaces for Creative Expression	75
2.4.2.3 Eye-tracking and Presence Research	76
2.5 Chapter Two Summary	77
3 METHODOLOGY	78
3.1 Practice-Based Research	79
3.1.1 Reflective Practice.....	80
3.1.2 Research Methods	84
3.2 Investigating Presence	85
3.3 Data Gathering Methods	87
3.3.1 Data Gathering: Presence Questionnaires.....	88
3.3.2 Data Gathering: Observation	89
3.3.3 Data Gathering: Interviews	90
3.3.4 Data Gathering: Participant Profile Information.....	92
3.3.5 Data Gathering: Gaining Participants' Attention	94
3.4 Research Context and Environment	95
3.5 The Presence Components of the Design Methodology	96
3.5.1 The Degree of Immersion: Content.....	97
3.5.2 The Degree of Immersion: Form	101
3.5.3 The Degree of Immersion: Participant	103
3.5.4 Methods for Measuring Participants' Experiences	105
3.6 Designing A Two-Part Study.....	106
3.6.1 Study One: Without Interaction	108
3.6.2 Study Two: With Interaction	109
3.7 Data Gathering for the Two-Part Study	110
3.7.1 Two-Part Study: Questionnaire.....	110
3.7.2 Two-Part Study: Participant Profile Information	112
3.7.3 Two-Part Study: Interview as an Analysis Technique	113
3.7.4 Two-Part Study: Video and Photo Documentation	113
3.7.5 Methodology Summary	114
Chapter Four	115
4 FOUNDATION	115
4.1 Graphic Design	116
4.2 Theatre	117

- 4.3 Film 120
- 4.4 Multimedia 121
- 4.5 Interactivity 126
- 4.6 Foundation Summary 127
- Chapter Five 128
- 5 NEW WORK 128
- 5.1 The Evolution of the Concept..... 129
 - 5.1.1 Version 1.0 *The Virtual Traveller* (June 2006) 130
 - 5.1.2 Version 2.0 *Ex_Is_Tense* (November 2006) 132
 - 5.1.3 Version 3.0 *The Innerlorama* (March 2007) 134
 - 5.1.4 Version 4.0 *PEGASYS* (November 2008) 135
 - 5.1.5 Pilot Study Results 136
 - 5.1.6 Study One Results 138
 - 5.1.7 Conclusions Drawn from the Previous Studies 141
- 5.2 *PEGASYS*: A Presence-Generating Art System 142
 - 5.2.1 The Creative Team 142
- 5.3 The Art System Features 143
 - 5.3.1 The System’s Seven Design Features 144
 - 5.3.1.1 Design Feature One: Engagement 144
 - 5.3.1.2 Design Feature Two: Spatial Presence 144
 - 5.3.1.3 Design Feature Three: Creating Ecological Validity 146
 - 5.3.1.4 Design Feature Four: The Use of Narratives 146
 - 5.3.1.5 Design Feature Five: Colour and Motion 148
 - 5.3.1.6 Design Feature Six: Sound 149
 - 5.3.1.7 Design Feature Seven: The Form of the System 151
- 5.4 Content Production 153
 - 5.4.1 Creating The Three Stages of Interaction 153
 - 5.4.1.1 Creating Stage One 153
 - 5.4.1.2 Creating Stage Two 154
 - 5.4.1.3 Creating Stage Three 154
 - 5.4.2 The Location-Based Film Shoot 155
 - 5.4.2.1 Creating The Camera Shelf 156
 - 5.4.2.2 The Video Cameras 158
 - 5.4.2.3 Creating the Visual Reference Guides 158
 - 5.4.2.4 Creating Sound Elements 159
 - 5.4.2.5 The Video-On-Demand Database Design 161
- 5.5 The Form of the System..... 167
 - 5.5.1 Identifying Issues with Eye-tracking Control 167
 - 5.5.2 Applying Eye-tracking into the Design Features 168
 - 5.5.3 Exhibiting Eye-tracking Within an Art System 169
 - 5.5.3.1 Installing the Eye-tracking Device 170
 - 5.5.3.2 The Art System’s Interface 171
 - 5.5.3.3 The Interface Icons 172
 - 5.5.3.4 Interface Interactivity 172
 - 5.5.3.5 Calibration of The Eye-tracking Device with Participant 173
 - 5.5.3.6 Confirming an Action 175
 - 5.5.3.7 Experimentation with the *PEGASYS* Interface 176

5.5.3.8 Other Issues associated with Eye-gaze Control	177
5.5.4 Hazards	178
5.5.4.1 Emission of Near Infrared Light	178
5.5.4.2 Near-Infrared Thermal Hazards to the Lens	178
5.6 New Work Summary	179
Chapter Six	181
6 EVALUATION	181
6.1 Research Questions Revisited	183
6.2 Evaluating The Two-Part Study	184
6.2.1 Study Results	185
6.2.1.1 Observation in Practice	185
6.2.1.2 Questionnaire Results	187
6.2.1.3 The Interview Transcripts	188
6.2.1.4 Results of the Participant Profile Information (PPI)	188
6.2.1.4.1 Participation Duration	190
6.2.1.4.2 Age and Sex	191
6.2.1.4.3 Session Time of the Day	191
6.2.1.5 Video and Stills Documentation	191
6.3 Evaluating the System Design	192
6.3.1 <i>Interaction Modes and Phases</i>	194
6.3.2 Evaluating the Design of the Art System	196
6.3.3 Evaluating the Designed Experience	196
6.3.3.1 Step One	197
6.3.3.2 Step Two	197
6.3.3.3 Step Three	199
6.3.3.4 Step Four	201
6.3.3.5 Step Five	202
6.3.4 Summary of Evaluating the Designed Experience	203
6.4 Evaluating System Design Features – The Content	203
6.4.1 Evaluating Engagement as a Design Feature	204
6.4.2 Evaluating Spatial Presence	206
6.4.3 Evaluating Ecological Validity (Sensory Presence)	209
6.4.4 Evaluating the Narratives and Emotional Content	210
6.4.5 Evaluating the Use of Motion and Colour	215
6.4.6 Evaluating the Audio Components	218
6.4.6.1 Audio Systems	219
6.4.6.2 The Surround-Sound Environment	219
6.4.6.3 The Use of Headphones	221
6.5 Evaluating System Design Features – The Form	222
6.5.1 Evaluating the System Response Time	222
6.5.2 Evaluating Eye-Tracking as a Sensory Output	226
6.5.2.1 The Viewing Distance	228
6.5.2.2 The Obtrusiveness of the Technology	229
6.5.3 Evaluating Participants’ Experiences of Eye-Tracking	229
6.6 Evaluation of Personality Types and Moods	231
6.6.1 Moods	232
6.6.2 Attention as an Attribute of Engagement	235

6.6.3 Presence and Time Factors 237

 6.6.3.1 Involvement 238

 6.6.3.2 Concentration 240

6.6.4 The Meaning the User Gives to the Stimuli..... 241

6.6.5 Control 243

6.7 Distraction Factors 249

 6.7.1 Negative Effects 250

 6.7.2 System Restrictions 251

 6.7.3 Environmental Distraction Factors 252

 6.7.3.1 The Lighting Conditions..... 253

 6.7.3.2 Physical and Psychological Barriers 255

6.8 Summary of Chapter Six 256

Chapter Seven..... 258

7 CONCLUSIONS 258

 7.1 The Art System’s Design Features 259

 7.2 Did PEGAYS Generate Presence? 260

 7.3 Summary of Research Outcomes 264

 7.3.1 Experiencing Presence in a Low Stress Environment 265

 7.3.2 Outcomes of the Interactive Narratives 267

 7.3.3 Outcomes of Inner Presence Attributes 271

 7.4.5 Outstanding Research Questions 274

7.5 Future Work 275

APPENDICES 276

 Ethics..... 276

 References for the PEGASYS External Drive..... 277

REFERENCES 282

List of Illustrations

Chapter Two: State-of-the-Art

Figure 1. *Oszillogramme* (1956), Herbert W Franke at *Mutamorphosis* in Prague, 2007

Figure 2. *Nineteen*, Ernest Edmonds, 1968/9

Figure 3. *Absolute_5*, Ernest Edmonds and Mark Fells, 2005

Figure 4. *A Space With No Geographical Boundaries*, Satellite Arts Project, 1977

Figure 5. *Moon is the Oldest TV*, Nam June Paik, 1979-1992

Figure 6. *Video Fish*, Nam June Paik, 1979 -1992

Figure 7. *A Loving Man*, Jananne-Al-Ani, 1996

Figure 8. *Cardiomorphologies*, George Khut, Greg Turner and Lizzie Muller, 2005

Figure 9. *Intimate Transactions*, Transmute Collective, 2006

Figure 10. *The Sensorama*, Morton Heilig, 1962

Figure 11. *Iamascope*, Sidney Fels, 2004

Figure 12. *The Famous Grouse Experience* / ART+COM / Berlin/ 2002

Figure 13. *Telematic Dreaming*, Paul Sermon, 1997

Figure 14. *El sueno de una cosa*, Philippe Parreno, 2001/2002

Figure 15. Virtual meditative 'walk in park', 2010

Figure 16. *The T_Visionarium*, at *iCinema* University of New South Wales

Figure 17. *iDome* at *iCinema* University of New South Wales

Figure 18. Illustration of a Mirametrix S1 Eye-Tracker

Figure 19. InterFACES: The Human Face of Assistive Technologies

Figure 20. Contributing Factors for the design of *PEGASYS*

Chapter Four: Foundation

Figure 21. Examples of Graphic Design artwork

Figure 22. *Guardians of the Concourse*. Splinters Theatre of Spectacle.1993.

Figure 22. *Winter of the Rutting Moon*. Splinters Theatre of Spectacle. Sydney1991.

Figure 24. *Guardians of the Concourse*. Splinters Theatre of Spectacle. 1993

Figure 25. Example of Still Shots from Films Produced by Sarah Moss 2000-2005

Figure 26. Screen shot from *Thru the Lens...* 2004

Figure 27. Images from *Beneath My Feet*, Circus WOW, Flagstaff Hill, 2004

Figure 28. Katia Molena in *Surface Tension*, Critical Mass Theatre (CMT)

Figure 29. Jeff Stein, Janys Hayes, Bruce Keller, John Thorpe in *Surface Tension*

Figure 30. Silhouettes' from *Surface Tension*. Critical Mass Theatre, 2002

Figure 31. Digital character for *Surface Tension*, Critical Mass Theatre live. 2004

Figure 32. Screen stills from “*The Element of Luck*”, 2006

Chapter Five: New Work

Figure 33. Diagram of *PEGASYS* Audio

Figure 34. Images from the film shoot.

Figure 35. The Camera Shelf

Figure 36. View of the Operating Camera Shelf

Figure 37. Visual Reference Guides (VRG): All Three Stages

Figure 38. The Binaural Sound Capture Device on location at *Crystal Pool*

Figure 39. A Method for Streaming Panoramic Video and Images

Figure 40. A Panoramic of Honeymoon Bay Shot in Still Frames

Figure 41. Conceptual Design for the System Operations by Oleg Sakharov

Figure 42. Illustration of the 42 HDV clips before Post-Production

Figure 43. Canvas Sizes

Figure 44. Illustrates each of the Four Clips for each of the Three Stages

Figure 45. Illustrates the process for clips created for Stage Two

Figure 46. The Tobii X120 in use at Beta_Space

Figure 47. Secondary Monitor (PC laptop) Positioned on the Left

Figure 48. The *PEGASYS* Interface

Figure 49. Interface Icons

Figure 50. The Next Stage Icon

Figure 51. Both Icons.

Figure 52. The Previous Stage Icon.

Figure 53. Example of the Track Status Window

Figure 54. Example of the Plot Window used in Calibration

Figure 55. Examples of the Grid Size Test

Figure 56. Example of the *PEGASYS* Target Areas

Chapter Six: Evaluation

Figure 57. Study One in Creativity and Cognition Studios

Figure 58. Study Two in Beta_Space

Figure 59. The Observational Point of View of the Participant in Study two

Figure 60. Example of the Track Status Window and the Participant Interacting

Figure 61. Participant filling in a questionnaire in Beta_Space

Figure 62. View of camera and chair set up in Beta_Space for Study two

Figure 63. Screen shot from Stage One

Figure 64. Screen shot from Stage Two

Figure 65. Screen shot from Stage Three

Figure 66. Screen shot from Stage Two

Figure 67. 180 degrees of Stage One

Figure 68. Cameras 4 and 5 of Stage Three

Figure 69. View of the Participant and Observers Seating at Beta_Space

Figure 70. Example of the Exhibition Room Lighting Arrangement

Figure 71. The Lighting Conditions in Beta_Space

Figure 72. Example #1 of Variable Lighting Conditions in Beta_Space

Figure 73. Example #2 of Variable Lighting Conditions in Beta_Space

Figure 74. Example #3 of Variable Lighting Conditions in Beta_Space

List of Tables

Chapter Two: State-of-the-Art

Table 1. Being-in-the-World: Presence and Time Perception

Table 2. Presence in Relation to Personal Characteristics and States (tentative)

Table 3. Researching Presence Attributes

Table 4. The Model of Engagement

Chapter Three: Methodology

Table 5. The Clinical Model of Attention

Table 6. Content, Form and Participant: Informing the Design of an Art System

Table 7. Narratives and Emotional Content: Informing the Design of an Art System

Table 8. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Spatial Presence

Table 9. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Control

Table 10. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Sensory Presence

Table 11. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Time

Table 12. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Involvement

Table 13. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Personal Relevance

Table 14. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Distraction Factors

Table 15. The Degree of Immersion: Informing the Design of an Art System

Table 16. Measuring Participants' Experiences of Interactivity / Form

Table 17. Testing Form for Elements of Presence

Table 18. Personality Types and Moods: Informing the Design of an Art System

Table 19. Evaluating Methods for Gauging Participants' Experiences of Presence

Table 20. The Distraction Factors Involved

Table 21. User-Centred Designs Most Popular Design Methods

Table 22. Factors of Presence and Questions within the Questionnaire

Table 23. Questionnaire and Discovering Indicators of Presence

Table 24. Participant Profile Information Form

Chapter Four: Foundation

Table 25. Abridged Table of Theatre Productions: 1999-2007

Table 26. Short Films: 2000-2009

Table 27. Table of Theatrical Promotional Videos

Table 28. Table of Screenings at Public Exhibitions

Table 29. Table of Interactive Video Installations

Chapter Five: New Work

Table 30. Wav Sound files for the *PEGASYS* Application

Table 31. Video-on-Demand (VOD)

Table 32. Factors associated with eye-control

Chapter Six: Evaluation

Table 33. Participant Profile Information

Table 34. Participation Information

Table 35. *PEGASYS* as a dynamic-Interactive Art System

Table 36. Interview. Section C. Question 5: Negative Responses

Table 37. Interview. Section C. Question 5: Positive Responses

Table 38. Interview. Section A. Question 5: Relaxing

Table 39. Interview. Section A. Question 6: Frustrating

Table 40. Questionnaire Results and Time

Table 41. Evaluating Involvement

Table 42. Bar Chart of Question B2 Outcomes

Table 43. Questionnaire Results and Personal Relevance

Table 44. Bar Chart of Question A5 Outcomes

Table 45. Bar Chart of Question B32 Outcomes

Table 46. Questionnaire Results and Control

Table 47. Bar Chart of Question B4 Outcomes

Table 48. Questionnaire Results and Distraction Factors

Table 49. Questionnaire Results and Negative Effects

Table 50. Questionnaire Results and Physical and Psychological Barriers

Table 51. Questionnaire Results and Interplay of Senses and Nervous System

Table 52. The Seven System Design Features

Table 53. Some of the Factors Associated with Eye-Control Technology

Chapter Six: Conclusions

Table 64. The Systems Seven Features

Addendum. References to PEGASYS External Drive

Chapter Two

2.1.4.7 Folder 5_ Academic Papers/ *The Reflective Practitioner*

Chapter Three

3.3 Folder 4_ Evaluation/ Chapter Six_Demo#1- Demo#4

3.4 Folder 3_ New Work/ Beta_Space_Pegasys.mov (2:57 Duration)

Folder 5_ APPENDICES/Appendix Three: Exhibition Venue

3.6.1 Folder 4_ Evaluation/ Evaluation Film for Study one (08:22 Duration).

3.7.4 Folder 5_ Appendix One_INTERVIEW: Questions & Answers.

Chapter Four

4.3 Folder 1_SM_Introduction/ sarahmoss.com.au (shortfilms.html)

4.4 Folder 1_SM_Introduction/sarahmoss.com.au (tpclips.html)

4.5 Folder 5_ Appendix Eight/Academic Papers/ The Reflective

4.5 Folder 2_SM_Experiments/*The Element of 'Luck'*

Chapter Five

5.1.1 Folder 2_SM_Experiments/ Experiment 1.mov (01:25 duration).

5.1.3 Folder 3_SM_Experiments/Pre-Study Experiments/Experiment 2.mov

5.1.5 Folder 2_SM_Experiments/ The Element of 'Luck' (FLASH MX)

5.1.5 Folder 5_ Appendix Eight/Academic Papers/ The Reflective etc

5.1.6 Folder 4_SM_Evaluation/Evaluation Film for Study one (08:22 duration)

5.1.6 Folder 5_ Appendix Eight/Academic Papers/ PEGASYS

5.2 Folder 7_ Examples of the New Work

5.2.1 Folder 6_SM_Appendix Three/ Exhibition Venue and Publicity Material.

5.3.1.6 Folder 2_SM_Experiments/ Experiment 2.mov (02:02 Duration)

5.3.1.6 Folder 6_SM_Appendix Three/ Exhibition Venue and Publicity Material.

5.3.1.6 Folder 3_ New Work/ PEGASYS_Sound Files.

5.4 Folder 6_SM_Appendix Three/ Exhibition Venue and Publicity Material.

5.4.1.1 Folder 3_SM_New Work/ Still Frames/ Stage One

5.4.1.1 Folder 7_ Examples of the New Work/Stage One, Clip 1.mov

5.4.1.2 Folder 3_ New Work/ Still Frames/ Stage Two_Examples of Still Frames

5.4.1.2 Folder 7_ Examples of the New Work/2. Stage Two, Clip 2.mov

5.4.1.3 Folder 3_SM_New Work/ _ Still Frames/ Stage Three_ExStill Frames

5.4.1.3 Folder 7_ Examples of the New Work/2. Stage Three, Clip 3.mov

5.4.2 Folder 3_ New Work/ Production/'Crystal Pool'.mov

- 5.4.2. Folder 5_Appendices/ Appendix Nine/ The Film Shoot
- 5.4.2. Folder 3_ New Work/ Production /The Camera Shelf.mov
- 5.4.2.3 Folder 3_ New Work/ _Production/ Visual Reference Guides
- 5.4.2.4 Folder 3_New Work/ _Sound Files/ www.Marianthe Loucataris.com
- 5.4.3 Folder 2_SM_Experiments/ Test_Panoramic#1.jpg
- 5.4.3 Folder 6_PEGASYS Application
- 5.4.3 Folder 3 _New Work/Visual Reference Guides (VRG).mov
- 5.5.3.2 Folder 4_ Evaluation/ PEGASYS_Interface.mov (00:29 Duration)
- 5.5.5 Folder 5_ Appendix Six_ System Operations / Instructions
- 5.5.5 Folder 5_ Appendix Seven_System Technical Specifications

Chapter Six

- 6. Folder 5_ Appendix One_INTERVIEW: Questions & Answers.
- 6. Folder 5_ Appendix Two_Participant COMMENTS
- 6. Folder 5_ Appendix Four_Questionnaire Results.
- 6. Folder 5_ Appendix Five_Participant Profiles.
- 6.2.1.3. Folder 5_ Appendix One_INTERVIEW: Questions & Answers.
- 6.2.1.4 Folder 5_ APPENDICES/ Appendix Five_Participant Profiles.
- 6.2.1.5 Folder 4 _Evaluation/ Chapter Six_Evaluation/Demo#1- Demo#4.
- 6.3.3.1.1 Folder 7_Examples of the New Work/1. Stage One, Clip 1.mov
- 6.3.3.2.1 Folder 7_Examples of the New Work/ Stage Two, Clip 2.mov.
- 6.3.3.3. Folder 7_Examples of the New Work/ Stage Three, Clip 3.mov.
- 6.4.1 Folder 5_ Appendix Four_Questionnaire Results.
- 6.4.6.2 Folder 4_Evaluation/ PEGASYS in Beta_Space_Interface
- 6.5.1 Folder 4_Evaluation/ Chapter Six_ Demo#1_eye-tracking L&R.mov
- 6.5.3 Folder 5_ Evaluation/ Chapter Six /Demo#1- Demo#4
- 6.6.3 Folder 4_ Evaluation/Demo#3_Participant #1.mov
- 6.6.5 Folder 4_ Evaluation/Demo#3_Participant #1.mov
- 6.7.2 Folder 7_Examples of the New Work/ Stage Three, Clip 3.mov